**AGENDA**

AMADOR LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION

6:00 P.M. – THURSDAY JUNE 15, 2017
810 COURT STREET, JACKSON
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS CHAMBERS

Please Note: All LAFCO meetings are recorded. Anyone who wishes to address the Commission must speak from the podium and should print their name on the Meeting Speaker list, which is located on the podium.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you are a disabled person and you need a disability-related modification or accommodation to participate in this meeting, please contact the LAFCO staff, at (209) 418-9377, by e-mail to amador.lafco@gmail.com. Requests must be made as early as possible, and at least two business days before the start of the meeting.

Meeting Materials are available for Public Review at the LAFCO desk, located at the County Planning Department, 810 Court Street, Jackson, and posted on the Amador LAFCO website.

1. CALL TO ORDER AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

2. ROLL CALL

3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

4. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF APRIL 20, 2017

5. APPROVAL OF CLAIMS TO JUNE 15, 2017

6. PUBLIC FORUM –PUBLIC COMMENT

Any person may address the Commission on any subject within the jurisdiction of LAFCO which is not on the agenda. No action may be taken at this meeting. There is a five (5) minute limit.

7. ADOPTION OF FINAL BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2017-18, LAFCO RESOLUTION 2017-01 (public hearing)

The Commission will consider the draft final budget, make any desired changes, direct staff to transmit the proposed budget pursuant to Government Code §56381.

8. LAFCO LIABILITY AND ERRORS & OMISSIONS INSURANCE

The commission will alternate insurance with Special District Risk Management Authority.

9. OTHER BUSINESS, REPORTS

   a. Correspondence
   b. Commissioner Announcements
   c. Executive Officer’s Report
d. Budget Reports (in the review binder at the dais)
e. Legislation and Project Status Report

1. ADJOURNMENT

Note: The next regular LAFCO meeting is scheduled for July 20, 2017. This meeting may be cancelled by the Commission.

Roseanne Chamberlain
Executive Officer

All persons are invited to testify and submit written comments to the Commission. If you challenge a LAFCO action in court you may be limited to issues raised at the public hearing or submitted as written comments prior to the close of the public hearing. All written materials received by staff 48 hours before the hearing will be distributed to the Commission. If you wish to submit written material at the hearing, please supply 10 copies.

NOTE: State law requires that a participant in LAFCO proceedings who has a financial interest in the decision and who has made a campaign contribution to any Commissioner in the past year must disclose the contribution. If you are affected, please notify commission staff before the hearing.

PLEASE DO NOT REMOVE POSTING BEFORE:
June 16, 2017
This meeting was available via live audio streaming and was digitally recorded.

1. **Call to Order, Pledge of Allegiance & Roll Call**

   The April 20, 2017, meeting of the Amador Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO), held at the County Administration Center, 810 Court Street, Jackson, California, was called to order by Chairman Crew at 6:00 p.m.

2. **Roll Call**

   Members Present:
   - Pat Crew, Chairman
   - Brain Oneto, County Member
   - Dominic Atlan, City Member
   - Tim Murphy, City Member
   - Jim Vinciguerra, Public Member

   Staff Present:
   - Roseanne Chamberlain, Executive Officer
   - Nancy Mees, Clerk to the Commission

3. **Approval of Agenda for April 20, 2017**

   **Motion**: It was moved by Commissioner Oneto, seconded by Commissioner Atlan, and carried unanimously to approve the agenda as submitted.

4. **Approval of the Minutes of February 16, 2017**

   **Motion**: It was moved by Commissioner Vinciguerra, seconded by Commissioner Oneto, and carried unanimously to approve the Minutes for February 16, 2017, as submitted.

5. **Approval of Claims to April 20, 2017**

   Executive Director Chamberlain pointed out that the annual building rental on this month’s Approval of Claims is less than last year’s since the building cost continues decreasing over the years as depreciation increases.

   **Motion**: It was moved by Commissioner Murphy, seconded by Commissioner Oneto, and carried unanimously to approve the Approval of Claims – Meeting Final, as submitted.
6. **Public Forum – Public Comment**

There were no comments.

7. **Adoption of Proposed Budget for Fiscal Year 2017-18 (Public Hearing)**

Referring to the two budget spreadsheets in the meeting packet, Executive Officer Chamberlain reported that the estimated Fund Balance transfer in is remaining the same as last year, $56,000, to help offset the city and county cost shares. However, some costs have gone up, so the cost shares will be slightly higher than they were last year. She also stated that the work plan for the coming year includes completing district mapping, so the funding for GIS services has been increased since LAFCO will now have to start paying for those services. Ms. Chamberlain added that funds for the clerk have been increased as Ms. Mees continues to increase her skills and will, among other things, be working on updating and improving the website. In addition, procedures are being clarified so that more work can be shifted from the Executive Officer to the Clerk as that will also decrease LAFCO costs.

Annual CALAFCO conference costs have been allotted for two commissioners plus staff to attend this year. Ms. Chamberlain said she hoped that some of the newer commissioners will attend this year.

Overall, the goal with the Proposed Budget, as always, is to reduce costs as much as possible, while continuing to have a Reserve Fund. Another $10,000 has been budgeted toward the Legal Reserve Fund again this year, bringing that fund up to slightly over $70,000. Once approved, the Proposed Budget will be transmitted to the cities and county. They have already received a copy of the unapproved Proposed Budget, and no comments or questions have been received. Ms. Chamberlain suggested that the Final Budget hearing be set for the June meeting as there is currently no other business that would require a meeting in May. Cancelling any unnecessary meetings saves money.

Chairman Crew asked if there were any target amount for the Legal Defense Fund. Ms. Chamberlain replied that nothing had been set, and that the Commission could decide at a certain point to allocate extra funds elsewhere. For example, if there were another recession, they could choose to allocate more funds toward offsetting the cost shares.

Commissioner Atlan asked about how the cities and county are billed for their cost shares. Ms. Chamberlain responded that the process is set by statute. LAFCO transmits the Approved Budget to the county Auditor, who determines the specific amounts for each city and the county, and then sends them letters regarding their required payments. If any cost share is not received by September 1, the auditor is authorized to use a share of that city’s revenue to pay the cost share. To date, this has never had to be done. Commissioner Atlan then asked how the shares are determined. Ms. Chamberlain said it is split 50 percent to the county and 50 percent to the cities. Then among the cities, it is divided based on the pro rata share of each city’s revenue. Mr. Atlan asked if he could receive an email copy of the relevant statute.

Chairman Crew opened the Public Hearing. There were no comments.

**Motion:** It was moved by Commissioner Oneto, seconded by Commissioner Vinciguerra, and carried unanimously to close the Public Hearing.
Motion: It was moved by Commissioner Vinciguerra, seconded by Commissioner Oneto, and carried unanimously to approve the Proposed Budget as presented.

8. Legislation Report

Referring to the draft letter in support of AB 979 in the meeting packet, Executive Officer Chamberlain explained that the bill does not change how commissioners are appointed, but it does make it simpler for Special Districts to get seated on LAFCO Commissions. She added that this legislation will probably not affect Amador County because our Special Districts are not interested in being on the LAFCO Board, but that it is important to show our support for Special Districts.

Ms. Chamberlain then moved on to AB 464, which she feels is the most important bill currently pending. This legislation is in response to a court case, The City of Patterson v. Turlock Irrigation District, that makes it impossible to do an annexation for an area that is already receiving services. This bill corrects that problem by statutorily declaring that any pending or future annexation for a territory that is already receiving services through an Outside Service Agreement will not be in jeopardy. Ms. Chamberlain recommended that the Commission write a letter in support of AB 464.

Executive Officer Chamberlain next spoke about AB 1725, a noncontroversial cleanup bill. There are still some amendments pending, but once the wording of the bill is finalized, she recommends that the Commission also write a letter of support, as it does for most of the annual Omnibus bills.

SB 448 is a spot bill, but one that is also not yet in its final form. It deals with simplifying the process for dissolving inactive districts. In Amador County, the dissolution of CSA 3 will be coming up because it was a district formed for the purpose of repaying bond indebtedness, and those bonds are now paid off. LAFCO will be initiating this dissolution. Ms. Chamberlain recommends that the Commission also send a letter of support for SB 448 once it is in its final form.

Commissioner Oneto asked about the wording regarding authorization by a LAFCO Commission of a schedule of fees and service charges in AB 1725. Executive Officer Chamberlain said the wording is really a placeholder, and that the final bill will have the exact wording. It is not authorizing anything new, just cleaning up language to make it consistent throughout.

Chairman Crew, referencing AB 464, pointed out that Amador LAFCO has, in the past, annexed areas that were already receiving services. Ms. Chamberlain replied that LAFCOs often allow outside services to start prior to an annexation being completed. The reason for AB 464 is that the Legislature wants to avoid counties using the Patterson case to deny annexations where services are already in place. The Patterson case determined that an annexation could only take place if it involved the placement of new services, thus disallowing those types of annexations to which Chairman Crew was referring. This legislation won’t affect new OSAs or historic OSAs.

Executive Officer Chamberlain requested approval of the draft letter for AB 979, and direction to draft letters of support for AB 464, AB 1725, and SB 448, at the appropriate times, for the Chairman’s signature.

Commissioner Oneto asked a question regarding district representation with respect to AB 979. Ms. Chamberlain said the current process for seating Special Districts is very difficult due to the large amount of coordination among districts that is required. Commissioner Atlan asked if one
district could request representation on a LAFCO Board, or if it must be all the districts. Ms. Chamberlain responded that it must be all, and an election has to be held. If approved, there is then a process for choosing who the two Special District Commissioners would be. Commissioner Atlan then asked if tax districts were included, and Ms. Chamberlain replied that only independent Special Districts can be on LAFCO Commissions.

**Motion:** It was moved by Commissioner Oneto, seconded by Commissioner Atlan, and carried unanimously to approve the letter regarding AB 979, and directing Executive Officer Chamberlain to draft a letter of support for AB 464 for the Chairman’s signature.

9. **Other Business, Reports**

   a. **Correspondence –** Executive Officer Chamberlain had included one letter in the meeting packet that was addressed to the Amador Planning Department requesting clarification with respect to services not being provided by Pine Acres CSD to the tentative subdivision of Pine Acres North.

   b. **Commissioner Announcements –** none.

   c. **Executive Officers Report –** Ms. Chamberlain reported that she was continuing to work with River Pines PUD, and that there may or may not be a proceeding coming before the LAFCO Commission. River Pines is currently considering having Amador Water Agency take over their water system.

   d. **Budget Report –** in the review binder at the dais.

   e. **Project Status Report –** given under Executive Officer’s Report.

11. **Adjournment**

    The next regular LAFCO meeting is scheduled for June 15, 2017, with consensus of the Commission to cancel the May meeting.

    Chairman Crew adjourned the meeting at 6:36 p.m.

---

Pat Crew, Presiding Officer  
LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION

---

ATTEST:  
Nancy Mees, Clerk to the Commission
# APPROVAL OF CLAIMS - PACKET DRAFT

**AGENDA OF June 15, 2017**

**APPROVAL OF CLAIMS TO June 15, 2017**

**Agenda Item 5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VENDOR</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>INV.DATE</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R Chamberlain</td>
<td>Consulting Services Labor</td>
<td>6/2/2017 estimate</td>
<td>$4,000.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expense Total, includes mileage</td>
<td>6/2/2017 estimate</td>
<td>$916.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N Mees</td>
<td>Clerical &amp; Admin Labor</td>
<td>6/13/2017 estimate</td>
<td>$813.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expenses</td>
<td>6/13/2017 estimate</td>
<td>($)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Allen</td>
<td>Map preparation (#75)**</td>
<td>4/19/2017</td>
<td>$525.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAFCO Board</td>
<td>Meeting Stipends (Maximum of 5 @ $50.00)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$250.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL**

$6,505.85

**Note:** Denotes any invoices paid prior to Commission Approval, per Policy 2.3.7

---

**CHAIR:**

---

**Presiding Officer**

---

**ATTEST:**

---

**Nancy Mees**

CLERK TO THE COMMISSION
AGENDA ITEM # 7

TO: ALL COMMISSIONERS, ALTERNATES
FROM: ROSEANNE CHAMBERLAIN, EXECUTIVE OFFICER
SUBJECT: PROPOSED FISCAL YEAR BUDGET 2017-18
DATE: MEETING OF JUNE 15, 2017

BACKGROUND & SUMMARY:

Government Code §56381 provides that the Commission shall annually adopt a proposed budget at a public hearing no later than May 1. The proposed budget is then transmitted to the county, cities, districts and others. The statutes specify that the final budget shall be no less than the previous year unless the Commission makes certain findings. The staff recommended proposed budget for 2017-18 is slightly more than the previous year’s budget appropriation.

LAFCO’s projected Fund Balance of $51,211 is augmented with general reserves to maintain a $56,000 transfer in to significantly reduce agency contributions. Agency payments will be increased slightly ($4K) from last year and is not expected to create a hardship for the county and cities. Actual fund balance may be slightly higher than projected fund balance, and will be carried over as a general reserve.

The 17-18 Proposed work plan was approved with the proposed budget in April and includes the following:

- Continue to process applications expediently and accurately
- Continue to adopt, update and/or affirm the spheres of influence for all agencies
- Complete boundary research and verification for districts (cities complete)
- Create and post to the website reliable GIS maps for all LAFCO agencies
- Continue to update the LAFCO website to enhance public access and reduce staff time for public inquiries (MSR, meeting materials are available)
- Continue to shift administration work to trained support staff to decrease cost of the Executive Officer
- Write clear procedures for LAFCO processes, including changes of organization (most admin procedures are complete)
- Purge and organize and create digital back up of hard copy records (resolutions and some project documents are complete)
• Continue to assist special districts and others with operational and organizational assistance
• Continue to assist County and City staff with research and support

The budget provides funding for 10 LAFCO meetings. Two commissioners and staff are budgeted to attend the CALAFCO annual conference in San Diego (October 25-27). Staff may attend the CALAFCO staff workshop in April if cost savings by that time allow this added expense. The legal defense reserve is fully funded with 10,000 added this year to our current $61K fund.

PLANNED BUDGET SCHEDULE:

• Adopt the final budget June 15, 2017
• Transmit the Final Budget to Auditor and Agencies the week following adoption.
• Auditor apportions budget and sends invoice to agencies July 1, 2017
• Journal Entry for county to fund first two months, if needed
• Agency contributions due September 1, 2017

EXECUTIVE OFFICER’S RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. Review and make any desired changes to the budget.
3. Direct staff to transmit the budget materials to cities, districts and others as specified in Government Code Section 56381.

Attachments: Draft Final Budget
Draft Resolution 2017-01
Exhibit A
### Amador LAFCO - Draft Final Budget
**FY 2017-18 Meeting of June 15, 2017**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget Code</th>
<th>Expense Category</th>
<th>Approved 16-17</th>
<th>Draft Final 17-18</th>
<th>Difference fromPrior Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>44100</td>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td>$600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46930</td>
<td>Project Fees</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FromGeneralFundBalanc</td>
<td>$56,000</td>
<td>$56,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subtotal Non-Agency Revenues</td>
<td>$81,600</td>
<td>$82,200</td>
<td>$600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45640</td>
<td>Agency Cost Share</td>
<td>$53,058</td>
<td>$57,126</td>
<td>$4,068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Revenue Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>$134,658</strong></td>
<td><strong>$139,326</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4,668.00</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Operating Expense</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50310</td>
<td>FICA (stipends)</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>-$50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50400</td>
<td>Emp Insurance</td>
<td>$85</td>
<td>$135</td>
<td>$50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51200</td>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51504</td>
<td>Liability Insurance</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52000</td>
<td>Membership</td>
<td>$880</td>
<td>$925</td>
<td>$45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52200</td>
<td>OfficeExpense(total)</td>
<td>$950</td>
<td>$950</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postage</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supplies</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Printing/copies 52205</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Publications, Ref Maps</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Prof &amp; Spec Serv Total</strong></td>
<td>$99,500</td>
<td>$101,500</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Legal Services</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>-1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Executive Officer</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>38,000</td>
<td>-2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Project Support</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clerical/Support</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meeting Exp/Stipend</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Audit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GIS, mapping</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52300</td>
<td>Other County Services (Inc. Rent)</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52300(1017)</td>
<td>Legal Defense Reserve</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52400</td>
<td>Public Notice</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52900</td>
<td>Mileage/travel</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52910</td>
<td>Conf/Mtgs(includes trav)</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$5,500</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Operating Expense Sub-total</strong></td>
<td>$122,115</td>
<td>$126,660</td>
<td>$4,545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59500</td>
<td>Operating Contingency</td>
<td>$12,543</td>
<td>$12,666</td>
<td>$126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Budget Appropriation</strong></td>
<td><strong>$134,658</strong></td>
<td><strong>$139,326</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4,668</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE FINAL BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2017-18
LAFCO RESOLUTION NUMBER 2017-01

WHEREAS, Government Code §56381 specifies the Commission shall adopt, following a noticed public hearing, a proposed budget by May 1, and a final budget by June 15; and

WHEREAS, the proposed budget was adopted on April 20, 2017, and transmitted to all parties specified in Government Code §56381(a), and a hearing for agencies and the public on the budget was held on June 15, 2017, and

WHEREAS, the Executive Officer has given notice of hearing in the form and manner specified by law for adoption of the final budget and upon the date, time and place specified in said notice of hearing, the Commission heard, discussed and considered all oral and written testimony submitted including, but not limited to, work plans and priorities for 2017-18 and the Executive Officer’s report and recommendation; and

WHEREAS, the final budget is not less than the budget adopted in the previous fiscal year, and the Commission does hereby find and determine that the budget as proposed will allow the commission to fulfill the purposes and programs of the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Act.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDERED, that the Local Agency Formation Commission of the County of Amador does approve and adopt the final budget for Fiscal Year 2017-18 as shown in Exhibit A, attached, and by this reference incorporated herein and does further order and direct the following:

Section 1: The Executive Officer shall transmit the final budget to the Auditor and all parties specified in Government Code §56381(a) as promptly as possible.

Section 2: The Executive Officer is directed to prepare a Journal Entry for the transfer of the first two months of operating expense ($23,221) should such funds be necessary to continue the usual operation of LAFCO. The Board of Supervisors of Amador County shall transfer funds in that amount to the Commission on July 1, 2017, as specified in §56381(c).

Section 3: The Auditor shall apportion the budget as specified in Government Code §56381(b) and request payment from the County and each city no later than July 1, 2017, as specified in §56381(c).

Section 4: If the County or a City does not remit its required payment within 60 days, the Auditor is requested to collect an equivalent amount from the property tax, or any fee or eligible revenue owed to the city or county as described in §56381(c).
The foregoing resolution was duly passed and adopted by the Local Agency Formation Commission of the County of Amador, State of California, at a regular meeting hereof held on the fifteenth day of June, 2017, by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

Patrick Crew, Chairman
Local Agency Formation Commission
County of Amador, State of California

ATTEST:

Nancy Mees, Commission Clerk
Local Agency Formation Commission

Attachment: Exhibit A, Final Budget
## Amador LAFCO - Final Budget
**FY 2017-18 Approved June 15, 2017**  
**Exhibit A - LAFCO Resolution 2017-01**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget Code</th>
<th>Expense Category</th>
<th>Approved 16-17</th>
<th>Approved 17-18</th>
<th>Difference from Prior Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>44100</td>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td>$600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46930</td>
<td>Project Fees</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>From General Fund Balan</td>
<td>$56,000</td>
<td>$56,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subtotal Non-Agency Revenues</td>
<td>$81,600</td>
<td>$82,200</td>
<td>$600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45640</td>
<td>Agency Cost Share</td>
<td>$53,058</td>
<td>$57,126</td>
<td>$4,068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Revenue Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>$134,658</strong></td>
<td><strong>$139,326</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4,668.00</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Operating Expense</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50310</td>
<td>FICA (stipends)</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>-$50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50400</td>
<td>Emp Insurance</td>
<td>$85</td>
<td>$135</td>
<td>$50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51200</td>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51504</td>
<td>Liability Insurance</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52000</td>
<td>Membership</td>
<td>$880</td>
<td>$925</td>
<td>$45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52200</td>
<td>Office Expense (total)</td>
<td>$950</td>
<td>$950</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Postage</strong></td>
<td>140</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Supplies</strong></td>
<td>280</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Printing/copies</strong></td>
<td>52205</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Publications, Ref Maps</strong></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52300</td>
<td>Prof &amp; Spec Serv Total</td>
<td>$99,500</td>
<td>$101,500</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Legal Services</strong></td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>-1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Executive Officer</strong></td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>38,000</td>
<td>-2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Project Support</strong></td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Clerical/Support</strong></td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Meeting Exp/Stipend</strong></td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Audit</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>GIS, mapping</strong></td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Other County Services (Inc. Rent)</strong></td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52300(1017)</td>
<td>Legal Defense Reserve</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52400</td>
<td>Public Notice</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52900</td>
<td>Mileage/travel</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52910</td>
<td>Conf/Mtgs (includes trav)</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$5,500</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Operating Expense Sub-total</strong></td>
<td>$122,115</td>
<td>$126,660</td>
<td>$4,545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59500</td>
<td>Operating Contingency</td>
<td>$12,543</td>
<td>$12,666</td>
<td>$1266.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Budget Appropriation</strong></td>
<td><strong>$134,658</strong></td>
<td><strong>$139,326</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4,668</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TO: ALL COMMISSIONERS, ALTERNATES
FROM: ROSEANNE CHAMBERLAIN, EXECUTIVE OFFICER
SUBJECT: LAFCO LIABILITY AND ERRORS & OMISSIONS INSURANCE
DATE: MEETING OF JUNE 15, 2017

BACKGROUND:

Amador LAFCO first acquired Liability Insurance and Errors and Omissions insurance in 2006. County Risk Management staff set up the policy with Driver/Aliant, a private insurance company. Our coverage includes $3,000,000 for liability and $3,000,000 for Errors and Omissions. There is a $2,500 deductible for each occurrence. The cost of this policy has increased slightly from $2,450 (2006) to $2,870 (2016). No claims have ever been filed.

DISCUSSION:

Alternative insurance is available to Amador LAFCO through California Special District Risk Management Authority (SDRMA). This insurance provider is a joint powers authority affiliated with the California Special Districts Association (CSDA). It provides cost-effective insurance to government agencies, mainly special district and LAFCOs. A majority of LAFCOs have selected this insurance for its low-cost coverage and broad benefits.

Coverage of $2,500,000 insurance would cost $1,850 with zero deductibles in the main covered categories. The policy specifically covers risks common to government agencies that are not included in our current policy, such as public official dishonesty (deductible $500) and employment practices. SDRMA would require a commitment to purchase 3 years of insurance, with annual renewal after that time. This represents a much better package at a much lower cost than our current insurance. The primary benefits are low cost, more covered risks, and no deductibles.

However, to obtain this insurance each participant is required to become a member of (CSDA). The membership is an additional $1,231 annually, but includes other useful benefits such as training, training materials, workshops, webinars, governance support, member advice and one hour of free legal consultation per year.
The cost to convert to SDRMA with membership in CSDA is $211 more than current insurance with Driver/Aliant. Staff believes the Benefits outweigh the small additional costs. The proposed budget allows for the change.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. Direct staff to prepare the required documents and join California Special District Association and SDRMA, for the purpose of obtaining SDRMA insurance.
2. Bring back the required resolutions necessary for SDRMA insurance to the next commission meeting.
AB 464 (Gallagher R) Local government reorganization.
Current Text: Amended: 3/14/2017  
Introduced: 2/13/2017  
Last Amended: 3/14/2017  
Status: 5/24/2017-Referral to Com. on GOV. & F.

Summary:
Under the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000, current law requires that an applicant seeking a change of organization or reorganization submit a plan for providing services within the affected territory that includes, among other requirements, an enumeration and description of the services to be extended to the affected territory and an indication of when those services can feasibly be extended. This bill would specify that the plan is required to also include specific information regarding services currently provided to the affected territory, as applicable, and make related changes.

Attachments:  
CALAFCO Letter of Support April 2017

Position: Sponsor  
Subject: Annexation Proceedings  
CALAFCO Comments: This bill makes a fix to Gov. Code Sec. 56653 based on the court finding in the case of The City of Patterson v. Turlock Irrigation District. The court found that because the services were already being provided via an out of area service agreement, the application for annexation was deemed incomplete because it was not a new service to be provided. By making the fix in statute, any pending/future annexation for a territory that is already receiving services via an out of area service agreement will not be in jeopardy.

As amended, corrections were made to: 56653(b)(3) reading "proposed" rather than "provided", and in Government Code Section 56857 an exemption added pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 9608 for territory already receiving electrical service under a service area agreement approved by the Public Utilities Commission pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 9608.

AB 979 (Lackey R) Local agency formation commissions: district representation.
Current Text: Amended: 5/15/2017  
Introduced: 2/16/2017  
Last Amended: 5/15/2017  
Status: 6/1/2017-Referral to Com. on GOV. & F.

Summary:
The Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000 provides for the selection of representatives of independent special districts on each local agency formation commission by an independent special district selection committee pursuant to a nomination and election process. This bill would additionally require the executive officer to call and hold a meeting of the special district selection committee upon the adoption of a resolution of intention by the committee relating to proceedings for representation of independent special districts.
upon the commission pursuant to specified law.

**Attachments:**
CALAFCO Sponsor/Support Letter April 2017

**Position:** Sponsor  
**Subject:** CKH General Procedures  
**CALAFCO Comments:** This bill is co-sponsored by CALAFCO and CSDA. As amended, the bill amends code Sec. 56332.5 to streamline the process of seating special districts on LAFCo by mirroring current statute 56332 (the process for electing special district representatives into the special district seats). Keeping the process voluntary, it allows for voting by mail whether or not the district wants to have special districts represented on LAFCo. Further, it will allow for the consolidation of that question with the independent special district selection committee appointment to a countywide redevelopment agency oversight board pursuant to Health and Safety Code 34179 (j)(3).

**AB 1725** (Committee on Local Government) Local agency formation.  
**Current Text:** Amended: 5/2/2017  
**Introduced:** 3/20/2017  
**Last Amended:** 5/2/2017  
**Status:** 6/1/2017- Referred to Com. on GOV. & F.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Desk</th>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Fiscal</th>
<th>Floor</th>
<th>Desk</th>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Fiscal</th>
<th>Floor</th>
<th>Conf. Conc.</th>
<th>Enrolled</th>
<th>Vetoed</th>
<th>Chaptered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st House</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2nd House</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary:**  
The Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000 provides the exclusive authority and procedure for the initiation, conduct, and completion of changes of organization and reorganization for cities and districts, as specified. The act authorizes a local agency formation commission to establish a schedule of fees and a schedule of service charges for proceedings taken pursuant to the act, as specified. This bill would revise that provision to authorize a local agency formation commission to establish a schedule of fees and a schedule of service charges pursuant to the act.

**Attachments:**  
CALAFCO Letter of Support April 2017

**Position:** Sponsor  
**Subject:** CKH General Procedures  
**CALAFCO Comments:** This is the annual Omnibus bill. The bill currently has two items and will be amended soon to include four (4) additional items. The bill makes only minor, non-substantive technical changes to CKH.

**SB 37** (Roth D) Local government finance: property tax revenue allocations; vehicle license fee adjustments.  
**Current Text:** Introduced: 12/5/2016  
**Introduced:** 12/5/2016  
**Status:** 5/26/2017-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(5). (Last location was APPR. SUSPENSE FILE on 5/25/2017)(May be acted upon Jan 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Desk</th>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>2 year</th>
<th>Floor</th>
<th>Desk</th>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Fiscal</th>
<th>Floor</th>
<th>Conf. Conc.</th>
<th>Enrolled</th>
<th>Vetoed</th>
<th>Chaptered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st House</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2nd House</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary:**  
Beginning with the 2004-05 fiscal year and for each fiscal year thereafter, existing law requires that each city, county, and city and county receive additional property tax revenues in the form of a vehicle license fee adjustment amount, as defined, from a Vehicle License Fee Property Tax Compensation Fund that exists in each county treasury. Current law requires that these additional allocations be funded from ad valorem property tax revenues otherwise required to be allocated to educational entities. This bill would modify these reduction and transfer provisions for a city incorporating after January 1, 2004, and on or before January 1, 2012, for the 2017-18 fiscal year and for each fiscal year thereafter, by providing for a vehicle license fee
## Amador LAFCO Project Status Summary
### Date: June 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Subject Agency</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Status and Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Pending Projects</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Applicatio</td>
<td>MSR Update for River Pines Public Utility District</td>
<td>River Pines Public Utility District</td>
<td>entire district</td>
<td>Update all information for district</td>
<td>Pending Decision by AWA &amp; RPPUD to reorganize</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Applicatio</td>
<td>Sphere Amendment, River Pines Public Utility</td>
<td>River Pines Public Utility District</td>
<td>End of MtAukum Rd in ED County</td>
<td>Add land with RPPUD water within ED annex area served in El Dorado County</td>
<td>Pending Decision by AWA &amp; RPPUD to reorganize</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>292</td>
<td>Service Area Annexation</td>
<td>River Pines Public Utility District</td>
<td>End of MtAukum 15 +/- Rd in ED County</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pending Decision by AWA &amp; RPPUD to reorganize</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Applicatio</td>
<td>Extension of New Service, Mandatory Garbage</td>
<td>River Pines Public Utility District</td>
<td>entire district</td>
<td>extend garbage collection service</td>
<td>On hold; Pending Decision by AWA &amp; RPPUD to reorganize</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Applicatio</td>
<td>Dissolution of River Pines Public Utility District</td>
<td>River Pines Public Utility District</td>
<td>entire district</td>
<td>Dissolution and transfer to AWA as successor agency</td>
<td>Pending Decision by AWA &amp; RPPUD to reorganize</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Approved Projects</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>Ranch House Estates CSD Formation</td>
<td>Formation</td>
<td>Entire District</td>
<td>Filing with BoE to establish District</td>
<td>Waiting Auditor’s assignment of TRA and fees from District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Inactive Projects</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-App</td>
<td>Service Connections</td>
<td>PGCSD</td>
<td>various</td>
<td>unknown parcels with service extended</td>
<td>Pending district application, originally planned to annex with Rapini #274</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>